



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,048	07/09/2004	Hirotake Nozaki	120335	1655
25944	7590	06/07/2010	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				BEMBEN, RICHARD M
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2622		
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/07/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com
jarmstrong@oliff.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/501,048	NOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner RICHARD M. BEMBEN	Art Unit 2622	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** 71

(1) RICHARD M. BEMBEN. (3) _____.
 (2) Justin T. Lingard (Reg. No. 61,276). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2010**Time:** 9AM EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6,445,460 B1 issued to Pavely.

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claims 11,14,15,30,54,55,56,58 are in condition for allowance and that claims 59,60,61 were anticipated by Pavely and that the application could be placed in condition for allowance by canceling the anticipated claims. Applicant's representative requested the Office Action detailing how Pavely anticipates the indicated claims.